



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,397	10/29/2003	Laurence Lundblade	030457	7478
23596 7590 06/02/2008 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121				
EXAMINER				
LASHLEY, LAUREL L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2132				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/02/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com

kascanla@qualcomm.com

nanm@qualcomm.com

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/697,397

**Applicant(s)**

LUNDBLADE, LAURENCE

**Examiner**

LAUREL LASHLEY

**Art Unit**

2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 24-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 24-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SG/US)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/15/2008 has been entered.
2. Claims 1 - 23 have been cancelled and claims 24 - 45 are still pending and have been examined.
3. Applicant's amendments have overcome the 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph rejections, thus these rejections are withdrawn.

***Claim Objections***

4. Claims 24, 28, 32, 36 and 40 are objected to because of the following informalities: Recitation of "receiving a application identifier" where it should read --receiving an application identifier--. Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. According to Applicant's specification (see [0073]) the system comprises software which is not considered to fall within one of the four statutory categories of invention.
6. To expedite a complete examination of the application, the claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 (nonstatutory) above are further rejected as set forth below in anticipation of

Art Unit: 2132

Applicant amending these claims to place them within one of the four statutory categories of invention.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 24-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Drews, U.S. Patent No. 6,477,645 B1, (hereinafter "Drews") and Bari et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0023059 A1, (hereinafter "Bari") and further in view of Hanna et al., US Patent No. 7010690 B1, (hereinafter "Hanna").

8. Regarding **claim 24**: Drews discloses a method for operating a credential server (col. 6 lines 15-16) to authenticate an application running on a device, wherein the application transmits a request for data to a data server and the request comprises an application credential, the method comprising:

receiving an application identifier in a request for a server credential (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 line 15-19, transformation value generator, hash function, accepts (receives) input (request for server credential), a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier));

Art Unit: 2132

generating the server credential using the application identifier (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 lines 15-33, transformation value generator, uses a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier) to create a transformation value (application credential) via hashing (generating)); and

transmitting the server credential to the data server (col. 2 lines 9-32), wherein if the server credential and the application credential match, the application is authenticated (col. 4 lines 9-36, authorizing entity supplies (transmits) transformation value (server credential) to user/agent that submits (transmits) the transformation value (server credential) to the comparison system of user platform (data server), and comparison system compares the received transformation value (server credential) with the output of the transformation value generator (authentication credential)).

Drews does not disclose a master credential.

Drews does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Bari discloses a master credential ([0036] lines 10-23).

Bari does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Hanna however does disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities (column 3, lines 12-18: master credentials facilitates authentication among network devices and 27-32: user devices include master credentials; column 5, lines 55-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Drews to incorporate the master credential taught by Bari such that the master credential would allow the device to be authenticated to other entities for the benefit of identifying a particular user/device for authentication (see Hanna, (column 3, lines 12--18)).

9. Regarding **claim 28**: Drews discloses an apparatus (col. 2 lines 9-22) for use with a credential server to authenticate an application running on a device, wherein the application transmits a request for data to a data server (col. 2 lines 34-42) and the request comprises an application credential (col. 3 line 24), the apparatus comprising:

first receiving logic that operates to receive an application identifier in a request for a server credential (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity, generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 line 15-19, transformation value generator, hash function, accepts (receiving logic) input (request for server credential), a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier));

generating logic that operates to generate the server credential based on the application identifier (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 lines 15-33, transformation value generator, uses a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier) to create a transformation value (application credential) via hashing (generating logic)); and

transmitting logic that operates to transmit the server credential to the data server (col. 2 lines 9-32), wherein the data server matches the server credential to the application credential

Art Unit: 2132

to authenticate the application (col. 4 lines 9-36, authorizing entity supplies (transmitting logic) transformation value (server credential) to user/agent that submits (transmitting logic) the transformation value (server credential) to the comparison system of user platform (data server), and comparison system compares the received transformation value (server credential) with the output of the transformation value generator (authentication credential)).

Drews does not disclose a master credential.

Drews does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Bari discloses a master credential ([0036] lines 10-23).

Bari does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Hanna however does disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities (column 3, lines 12-18: master credentials facilitates authentication among network devices and 27-32: user devices include master credentials; column 5, lines 55-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Drews to incorporate the master credential taught by Bari such that the master credential would allow the device to be authenticated to other entities for the benefit of identifying a particular user/device for authentication (see Hanna, (column 3, lines 12--18)).

10. Regarding **claim 32**: Drews discloses an apparatus (col. 2 lines 9-22) for use with a credential server to authenticate an application running on a device, wherein the application transmits a request for data to a data server and the request comprises an application credential, the apparatus comprising:

means for receiving an application identifier in a request for a server credential (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 line 15-19, transformation value generator, hash function, accepts (means for receiving) input (request for server credential), a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier));

means for generating the server credential based on the application identifier (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 lines 15-33, transformation value generator, uses a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier) to create a transformation value (application credential) via hashing (means for generating)); and

means for transmitting the server credential to the data server (col. 2 lines 9-32), wherein the data server matches the server credential to the application credential to authenticate the application (col. 4 lines 9-36, authorizing entity supplies (means for transmitting) transformation value (server credential) to user/agent that submits (means for transmitting) the transformation value (server credential) to the comparison system of user platform (data server), and comparison system compares the received transformation value (server credential) with the output of the transformation value generator (authentication credential)).

Drews does not disclose a master credential.

Drews does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.



Bari discloses a master credential ([0036] lines 10-23).

Bari does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Hanna however does disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities (column 3, lines 12-18: master credentials facilitates authentication among network devices and 27-32: user devices include master credentials; column 5, lines 55-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Drews to incorporate the master credential taught by Bari such that the master credential would allow the device to be authenticated to other entities for the benefit of identifying a particular user/device for authentication (see Hanna, (column 3, lines 12-18)).

11. Regarding **claim 36**: Drews discloses a computer-readable media (col. 7 line 2) comprising instructions, which when executed by a processor in a credential server, operate to authenticate an application running on a device, wherein the application transmits a request for data to a data server and the request comprises an application credential, the computer-readable media comprising:

instructions for receiving the application identifier in a request for a server credential (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 line 15-19, transformation value generator, hash function, accepts (receives) input (request for server credential), a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier));

instructions for generating the server credential based on the application identifier (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 lines 15-33, transformation value generator, uses a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier) to create a transformation value (application credential) via hashing (generating)); and

instructions for transmitting the server credential to the data server (col. 2 lines 9-32), wherein the data server matches the server credential to the application credential to authenticate the application (col. 4 lines 9-36, authorizing entity supplies (transmits) transformation value (server credential) to user/agent that submits (transmits) the transformation value (server credential) to the comparison system of user platform (data server), and comparison system compares the received transformation value (server credential) with the output of the transformation value generator (authentication credential)).

Drews does not disclose a master credential.

Drews does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Bari discloses a master credential ([0036] lines 10-23).

Bari does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Hanna however does disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities (column 3, lines 12-18: master credentials facilitates authentication among network devices and 27-32: user devices include master credentials; column 5, lines 55-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Drews to incorporate the master credential taught by Bari such that the master credential would allow the device to be authenticated to other entities for the benefit of identifying a particular user/device for authentication (see Hanna, (column 3, lines 12–18)).

12. Regarding **claim 40**: Drews discloses a method (col. 6 lines 15-16) for processing an application credential associated with an application running on a device, wherein the application credential is used by the application to authenticate to a data server, the method comprising:

receiving a request to generate the application credential, wherein the request includes an application identifier (col. 3 line 15-19, transformation value generator, hash function, accepts (receives) input (request for application credential), a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier)); and

generating the application credential using the application identifier (col. 3 lines 15-33, transformation value generator, uses a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier) to create a transformation value (application credential) via hashing (generating).

transmitting a request for data to a data server (col. 2 lines 9-22), wherein the request comprises the application credential (col. 6 lines 15-44, authorizing entity identifies newly installed workstation requiring installation of a boot image (request for data), and transformation value (application credential) is necessary to obtain data).

(col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator

requesting a server credential from a credential server, wherein the request for the server credential comprises the application identifier (col. 3 line 16) and a token (col. 2 line 44) by which the data server authenticates itself (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 line 15-19, transformation value generator, hash function, accepts (receives) input (request for server credential), a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier));

generating the server credential using the application identifier (col. 3 lines 57-65, authorizing entity, an IT management organization or some other entity (credential server), generates and supplies (upon request) transformation values (server credentials) performing the same transformation as the transformation value generator, and col. 3 lines 15-33, transformation value generator, uses a variable length amount of digital data (application identifier) to create a transformation value (application credential) via hashing (generating)); and

transmitting the server credential to the data server (col. 2 lines 9-32),

matching the server credential with the application credential, wherein the application is authenticated if the two credentials match (col. 4 lines 9-36, authorizing entity supplies (transmits) transformation value (server credential) to user/agent that submits (transmits) the transformation value (server credential) to the comparison system of user platform (data server), and comparison system compares the received transformation value (server credential) with the output of the transformation value generator (authentication credential)); and

transmitting the data to the application (col. 6 lines 22-32).

Drews does not disclose a master credential.

Drews does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Bari discloses a master credential ([0036] lines 10-23).

Bari does not disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities.

Hanna however does disclose wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities (column 3, lines 12-18: master credentials facilitates authentication among network devices and 27-32: user devices include master credentials; column 5, lines 55-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Drews to incorporate the master credential taught by Bari such that the master credential would allow the device to be authenticated to other entities for the benefit of identifying a particular user/device for authentication (see Hanna, (column 3, lines 12--18)).

13. Regarding **claims 25, 29, 33, 37, and 44**: Drews discloses receiving an authentication token (col. 2 line 44) that proves the request is associated with the application identifier (col. 2 lines 42-52).

14. Regarding **claims 26, 31, 35, and 39**: Drews discloses receiving the application credential (col. 3 lines 34-40); matching the application credential and the server credential (col. 3 lines 34-40); and transmitting an authorization to the data server to fulfill the data request if the application credential matches the server credential (col. 6 lines 15-54).

15. Regarding **claims 27, 30, 34, and 38**: Drews discloses generating the server credential (col. 3 lines 63-65) using a one-way generation technique, so that the application identifier and the master credential cannot be discovered from the server credential (col. 3 lines 15-33).

16. Regarding **claim 41**: Drews discloses a one-way generation technique, so that the application identifier and the master credential cannot be discovered from the application credential (col. 3 lines 15-33).

17. Regarding **claim 42**: Drews discloses using a modification detection and authentication technique (col. 3 lines 49-65) to determine if the application or the application identifier has been modified (col. 3 lines 24-40) and prove the application is associated with the application identifier (col. 3 lines 24-40).

18. Regarding **claim 43**: Drews discloses the modification detection and authentication technique is a digital signature (col. 2 lines 42-52).

19. Regarding **claim 45**: Drews discloses the device is a wireless device (col. 2 lines 53-65).

### ***Conclusion***

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAUREL LASHLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-0693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, alt Fridays btw 7:30 am & 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laurel Lashley  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2132

/L. L./  
23 May 08

/Benjamin E Lanier/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2132